

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/802,933	02,933 03/18/2004		Masaki Toyokura	2004_0444A	5157	
513	7590	09/27/2006		EXAMINER		
	•	ND & PONACK, L	DANG, KHANH			
2033 K STRI SUITE 800	EET N. V	V.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DC	20006-1021	2111	2111		
		•	DATE MAILED: 00/27/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/802,933	0/802,933 TOYÖKURA, M/		SAKI				
Offi	ice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	·	Khanh Dan	<u> </u>	2111					
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress				
A SHORTEN WHICHEVER - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN me may be available under the provisions of 37 CF INTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p within the set or extended period for reply will, by seed by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THI FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. period will apply and will statute, cause the applie	S COMMUNICATION  It, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)☐ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed on _								
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is no	n-final.						
3)☐ Since t	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	laims								
4)⊠ Claim(s	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of t	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.								
7)☐ Claim(s	s) is/are objected to.	•							
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election re	quirement.						
Application Pap	ers								
9)☐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
10)∐ The dra	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applica	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replace	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)⊡ The oat	h or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner. No	e the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
	rledgment is made of a claim for for b)  Some * c)  None of:	reign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
·	Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have beer	received.						
<u>—</u>									
3. 🔲 (	Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National	l Stage				
á	application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	•					
* See the	attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certif	ed copies not receive	∍d.					
Attachment(s)			•						
	rences Cited (PTO-892)	0)	4) Interview Summary						
	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<b>გ</b> )	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/M			6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the so-called "processing level judging means" (claims 1 and 3) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 4 are directed to an apparatus. However, the essential structural cooperative relationship(s) between the so-called "access cycle counter," "processing means," "correspondence information," "processing level judging means" have been omitted, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Claims 2, 4, and 5 are directed to an apparatus. However, the essential structural cooperative relationship(s) between the so-called "access cycle counter," "processing means," "correspondence information," "arbiter," "processing level judging means" have been omitted, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Claims 3, 5, and 6 are directed to an apparatus. However, the essential structural cooperative relationship(s) between the so-called "access cycle counter," "processing means," "correspondence information," "arbiter," "processing level judging means" have been omitted, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

MPEP 2172.01 requires that relationships between elements recited in the claims must be specified. Specifically, MPEP 2172.02 requires interrelation and structural relationships between essential elements in the claims. Therefore, it is the Examiner's

Art Unit: 2111

position that the claimed elements, as defined in the originally filed specification and as identified above, are essential elements to the claimed invention. Since they are essential elements as defined in the originally filed specification, their structural cooperative relationships must be provided in the claims. Further, it is also the Examiner's position that the claimed elements, as identified above, function simultaneously, are directly functionally related, directly inter-cooperate, and/or serve independent purposes, as evidenced from the originally filed specification.

If Applicants disagree with the Examiner that the above identified elements, as defined by the originally filed specification, are essential elements to the claimed invention, and that the above identified elements\_are directly functionally related, directly inter-cooperate, and/or serve independent purposes, it is requested that Applicants provide evidences showing that the identified elements are not essential elements to the claimed invention, do not function simultaneously, are not directly functionally related, do not directly inter-cooperate, and/or do not serve independent purposes; and state on the record that this is the case.

Further, with regard to claims 1, 3, 4, and 6, it is unclear what may be a difference between the so-called "processing level judging means" and the "arbiter. As disclosed by the originally filed specification, page 20, "the bus controller according to the first embodiment includes the access cycle counter 12, the arbiter 11 as a processing means for performing processing of different levels that varies with requesters, and the correspondence information 13 that shows correspondences between the processing level that varies with requesters and the number of access

Art Unit: 2111

cycles. Further, the arbiter ii arbitrates access permission for access requests from plural requesters, and also functions as a processing level judging means that indicates the processing level." As claimed, the "processing level judging means" and the "arbiter" are separate and different structure.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the features: "a processing level judging means for indicating a processing level of the processing performed by the processing means of the respective requesters for which an access permission is given; and said processing level judging means indicating the processing levels of the respective requesters for which an access permission is given, in accordance with a present cycle number that is counted by the access cycle counter, the number of remaining cycles up to a predetermined limit cycle number, and the correspondence information showing the correspondences between the processing levels of the respective requesters and the access cycle numbers" (claim 1); "said arbiter performing

Art Unit: 2111

a control for giving no permission to a non-realtime bus access request when it is expected that a total number of cycles of all the requesters would exceed the limit cycle number in accordance with a present cycle number that is counted by the access cycle counter, the number of remaining cycles up to a predetermined limit cycle number, and the correspondence information that shows correspondences between the plural requesters and the access cycle numbers" (claim 2); and "said processing level judging means and said arbiter indicating the levels of the processings which are performed by the processing means of the respective requesters for which an access permission is given, in accordance with a present cycle number counted by the access cycle counter, the number of remaining cycles up to a predetermined limit cycle number, and the correspondence information, and performing a control for giving no permission to a non-realtime bus access request when it is expected that a total number of cycles of all requesters would exceed the limit cycle number" (claim 3).

#### Relevant Art

US Patent Nos. 6,820,152 to Kanzaki et al., 5,241,632 to O'Connell et al., 6,055,577 to Lee et al., 6,138,200 to Ogilvie, 6,425,032 to Prasana, 5,784,569 to Miller et al., 6,658,511 to Yamada et al., 5,956,493 to Hewitt et al., 5,533,205 to Blackledge, Jr. et al., 6,178,475 to O'Brien, and 6,058,450 to LaBerge are cited as relevant art.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,933

Art Unit: 2111

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-3626.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:AM to 5:PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached on 571-272-3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kharl Domes

Page 7

Whanh Dang Primary Examinar